

# On contraction analysis of switched systems with mixed contracting-noncontracting modes via mode-dependent average dwell time

Hao Yin, Bayu Jayawardhana, and Stephan Trenn

**Abstract**—This paper studies contraction analysis of switched systems that are composed of a mixture of contracting and noncontracting modes. The first result pertains to the equivalence of the contraction of a switched system and the uniform global exponential stability of its variational system. Based on this equivalence property, sufficient conditions for a mode-dependent average dwell-time based switching law to be contractive are established. Correspondingly, LMI conditions are derived that allow for numerical validation of contraction property of switched linear systems, which include those with all unstable modes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

For the past two decades, analysis and control of switched systems (as an important and special class of hybrid systems) have been well studied due to their relevance in representing numerous modern engineering systems where an abrupt change of parameters can occur or a jump in systems dynamics can happen as a response to the sudden change in their environment. Some well-known examples of such engineering systems are the dynamics of aircraft [1], of power electronics [2], and of electrical circuits [3]. Typically, switched systems are described by a family of subsystems, which can either be continuous-time or discrete-time dynamics, and a switching signal  $\sigma(t)$  with switching sequence  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$  that determines which subsystem is active over each time interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Such switching sequence can depend on particular state events [4], [33], time events [5]–[7], or memory [8]. In the time-dependent switching sequence, the dwell time (DT) [5] and average dwell time (ADT) notions [6] are two basic and important concepts in switched systems, both of which refer to the time interval or the average time interval, respectively, between consecutive switching times being lower bounded by a certain positive constant. A more general and flexible switching sequence, so-called mode dependent average dwell time (MDADT), was introduced in [7], which allows each mode to have its own ADT.

The stability of switched systems has been widely investigated in the literature [4]–[14] with a large body of works concern with switched systems comprising of stable subsystems. The common Lyapunov function technique [10] and multiple Lyapunov function technique [11] are commonly used to analyze the stability of these systems. In recent years, analysis of switched systems has also covered those with both stable and unstable subsystems. The main idea of these studies is to check whether the dwell-time of the stable subsystems is sufficiently large to offset the diverging trajectories caused by the unstable subsystems that are dwelt for a sufficiently short time. This approach of having a trade-off between stable and unstable subsystems is no longer applicable when all subsystems are unstable. In [12]–[14], a discretized Lyapunov function technique is presented that can be used to analyze the stability of switched systems with all unstable subsystems. In this paper, we present

another approach using contraction analysis to analyze the stability switched systems which encompass all cases including those with all unstable modes.

As one of stability analysis methods that has received a growing interest lately, contraction analysis is concerned with the relative trajectories of a systems than to a particular attractor equilibrium point in standard Lyapunov stability analysis. There are many different methods to analyze the contractivity of non-switched systems in literature, such as [15]–[28] among many others. In [25], the contraction property can be guaranteed if the largest eigenvalue of the symmetric part of the associated variational systems matrix (which is loosely termed as the Jacobian) is uniformly strictly negative. Finsler–Lyapunov functions were introduced in [26] to analyze the incremental stability of the system. A hierarchical approach to study convergence using matrix norm was discussed in [27]. In the context of switched systems, the contraction analysis thereof has recently been presented in [29]–[33]. Using contraction analysis method in [25], sufficient conditions for the convergence behavior of reset control systems have been studied in [31]. The extension of matrix norm-based contraction analysis [27] to piecewise smooth continuous systems is formalized in [29]. In [33], the singular perturbation theory and matrix norm are used to study the contraction property of switched Filippov systems, which include piecewise smooth systems.

In all of above mentioned results on contraction analysis for switched systems, it is assumed that all subsystems are contracting. It remains non-trivial to analyze contractivity of switch systems with all non-contracting subsystems, where in each dwell time interval the trajectories diverge from each other. Following the fact that a switched system with all unstable modes can be made asymptotically stable by an appropriate switching signal, we study in this paper whether the contraction of these systems, as a particular class of switched systems with mixed contracting-noncontracting modes, can be established by using the right switching signals.

As our first main result in this paper, we present contraction analysis for switched systems with mixed contracting-noncontracting modes. We establish that the stability of the corresponding variational dynamics is a sufficient and necessary condition to the contraction of the original switched systems. Subsequently, as our second contribution, we provide sufficient conditions on the time-varying Lyapunov function and on the mode dependent average dwell-time for switched nonlinear systems such that they are contracting. In general, these conditions ensure that the growth of time-varying Lyapunov function due to the noncontracting modes can be compensated by the switching behavior and the decaying Lyapunov function due to the contracting modes. In addition, we also consider all noncontracting subsystems case, where the increment can only be compensated by the switching behavior. Based on these conditions, as our third contribution, we propose a time-varying quadratic Lyapunov function that can be used to establish the contraction of such switched systems via LMI conditions. Our result is more general and less conservative than the discretized Lyapunov function technique as proposed and used in [12]–[14]. This result implies also that we can establish the stability of switched linear systems with all unstable modes.

The authors are with the Jan C. Willems Center for Systems and Control, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Groningen, 9747AG Groningen, The Netherlands. hao.yin@rug.nl, b.jayawardhana@rug.nl and s.trenn@rug.nl.

This work was supported by China Scholarship Council.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present preliminaries and problem formulation. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the contractivity of nonlinear switched systems are presented in Sections 3. The switching law design strategy is provided in Section 4. The numerical simulations are provided in Section 5. The conclusions are given in Section 6.

*Notation.* The symbols  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of real, non-negative real, natural numbers, respectively.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. We denote the identity matrix with appropriate dimension by  $I$ . Given any matrix  $A$ ,  $A^T$  refers to the transpose of  $A$ ,  $\lambda(A)$  refers the eigenvalue of  $A$ . For symmetric metrics  $B$  and  $C$ ,  $B > 0$  ( $B \geq 0$ ) indicates that  $B$  is positive definite (positive semidefinite) and  $B < 0$  ( $B \leq 0$ ) indicates that  $B$  is negative definite (negative semidefinite),  $B < C$  ( $B \leq C$ ) means  $B - C < 0$  ( $B - C \leq 0$ ).  $\bar{\tau}$ ,  $\underline{\tau}$  represent the upper bound, and the lower bound of  $\tau$ . For vector valued functions  $F : x \mapsto F(x)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $F_p : x \mapsto F_p(x)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the Jacobian matrix  $\nabla_x F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  by  $\nabla_x F := \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x}$ , and  $\nabla_x F_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  by  $\nabla_x F_p := \frac{\partial F_p(x)}{\partial x}$ , respectively.  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean vector norm.

## II. PRELIMINARIES AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider switched systems in the form of

$$\dot{x}(t) = f_{\sigma(t)}(x(t), t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t) \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is the initial time and  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  is the initial value. Define an index set  $\mathcal{M} := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , where  $N$  is the number of modes. The signal  $\sigma : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  denotes the switching signal, which is assumed to be a piece-wise constant function continuous from the right. The vector field  $f_i : \mathcal{X} \times [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(x, t) \mapsto f_i(x, t)$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{M}$  is continuous in  $t$  and continuously differentiable in  $x$ . The switching instants are expressed by a monotonically increasing sequence  $\mathcal{S} := \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \dots\}$ , where  $t_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th switching instant. The length between successive switching instants is commonly referred to as the dwell time and given by  $\tau_k = t_{k+1} - t_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We assume that (1) is forward complete, which means for each  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists a unique solution of (1) and no jump occurs in the state at a switching time.

*Definition 2.1:* For a switched system given by (1), it is called contracting if there exists positive numbers  $c$  and  $\alpha$  such that for all solutions  $x_1(t)$ ,  $x_2(t)$  of (1) we have

$$\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \leq ce^{-\alpha t} \|x_1(t_0) - x_2(t_0)\|. \quad (2)$$

In order to study contractivity of the switched systems (1), as usual, we will analyse the (uniform) stability of the corresponding variational systems, in which case, the following definition is relevant (note that by assumption for each time  $t \geq t_0$  the map  $x \mapsto f_{\sigma(t)}(x, t)$  is continuously differentiable at all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ).

*Definition 2.2:* The family of (time-varying) linear switched system

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = F_{\sigma(t)}(x(t), t)\xi(t), \quad \xi(t_0) = \xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3)$$

with  $F_p(x(t), t) = \nabla_x f_p(x(t), t)$  and  $x(\cdot)$  be any given solution trajectory of (1) is called uniformly globally exponentially stable (UGES), if there exist positive numbers  $c$ ,  $\alpha$  (independently of the chosen solution  $x(\cdot)$ ) such that for every solution  $\xi(t)$  of (3) the following inequality holds,

$$\|\xi(t)\| \leq ce^{-\alpha t} \|\xi(t_0)\|. \quad (4)$$

The contraction analysis problem for switched systems with all contracting modes has attracted considerable attentions. For example,

in [30], [31], a common contraction region is required between each subsystem. Then, contracting can be achieved by activating the subsystems for a sufficient long time. However, for noncontracting subsystems, you can not find such common contraction region, to be precise, you can not find any contraction region for a noncontracting subsystem. Then, the results in [30], [31] cannot be applied. The objective of this paper is to propose a sufficient condition that guarantees the switched system (1) is contracting with respect to switching law  $\sigma(t)$  when not all modes of (1) are contracting, including the case where none of the modes is contracting.

## III. A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE CONTRACTION OF SWITCHED SYSTEMS

In this section, we have the following proposition that establish the equivalence between (1) being contracting and (3) being UGES.

*Proposition 3.1:* For a given switching signal  $\sigma(t)$ , the system (1) is contracting if, and only if, the family of systems (3) is UGES.

**PROOF.** Before showing the equivalence, we first establish a relationship between the solutions of (1) and (3), therefore let  $x(t) = \varphi(t, x_0)$ ,  $\hat{x}(t) = \varphi(t, x_0 + \delta\xi_0)$  be two trajectories of (1) with initial conditions  $x(t_0) = \varphi(t_0, x_0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\hat{x}(t_0) = \varphi(t_0, x_0 + \delta\xi_0) = x_0 + \delta\xi_0$ , respectively, where  $\delta$  is a sufficiently small positive constant and  $\xi_0$  will later be related to the initial condition of (3).

We will now show that

$$\xi(t) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(t, x_0 + \delta\xi_0) - \varphi(t, x_0)}{\delta} \quad (5)$$

is a solution of (3) with initial value  $\xi(t_0) = \xi_0$ . For any  $t$ , let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ , so that the semiflow  $\varphi(t, x_0)$  of (1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, x_0) &= x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_{\sigma(t_k)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and similarly, the semiflow  $\varphi(t, x_0 + \delta\xi_0)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, x_0 + \delta\xi_0) &= x_0 + \delta\xi_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f_{\sigma(t_k)}(\varphi(s, x_0 + \delta\xi_0), s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(s, x_0 + \delta\xi_0), s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &= \xi_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{\sigma(t_k)}(\varphi(s, x_0 + \delta\xi_0), s) - f_{\sigma(t_k)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s)}{\delta} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(s, x_0 + \delta\xi_0), s) - f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s)}{\delta} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Clearly, for  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, i\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{\sigma(t_j)}(\varphi(s, x_0 + \delta\xi_0), s) - f_{\sigma(t_j)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s)}{\delta} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} [f_{\sigma(t_j)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s)] \cdot \xi_0 \\ &= [\nabla_x f_{\sigma(t_j)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) \cdot \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(s, x_0)] \cdot \xi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that the map  $x_0 \mapsto \varphi(t, x_0)$  is differentiable for all  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$  which is a consequence from the ability to write  $\varphi$

as a concatenation of the smooth solution flows  $\varphi_{\sigma(t_i)}(t, t_i, x_i)$  of the (non-switched) differential equations  $\dot{x} = f_{\sigma(t_i)}(x, t)$ ,  $x(t_i) = x_i$ . In fact,  $\varphi(t, x_0) = \varphi_{\sigma(t_j)}(t, t_j, \varphi(t_j, x_0))$  and, recursively for  $k = i - 1, \dots, 2, 1$ , we have  $\varphi(t_k, x_0) = \varphi_{\sigma(t_{k-1})}(t_k, t_{k-1}, \varphi(t_{k-1}, x_0))$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &= \xi_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \nabla_x f_{\sigma(t_k)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(s, x_0) \xi_0 ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t \nabla_x f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(s, x_0) \xi_0 ds \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi}(t) &= \nabla_x f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(t, x_0), t) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0) \xi_0 \\ &= F(t, x(t)) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0) \xi_0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from  $\sigma(t_i) = \sigma(t)$  for all  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ . Furthermore, from (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0) &= I + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \nabla_x f_{\sigma(t_k)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(s, x_0) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_i}^t \nabla_x f_{\sigma(t_i)}(\varphi(s, x_0), s) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(s, x_0) ds \end{aligned}$$

which when multiplied with  $\xi_0$  and in view of (9) leads to

$$\nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0) \xi_0 = \xi(t).$$

Altogether this shows that indeed  $\xi$  given by (5) is a solution of (3). In particular,  $\nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0)$  is the transition matrix for (3), i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0) = \nabla_x f_{\sigma(t)}(x(t), t) \nabla_{x_0} \varphi(t, x_0). \quad (10)$$

*We show: Contracting  $\Rightarrow$  UGES.* The proof is done by contradiction, i.e. we show that the assumption that (1) is not UGES leads to a contradiction if (1) is contracting. Towards this goal, assume that there exists a solution  $x(\cdot)$  of (1) and an initial value  $\xi_0$  such that for the corresponding solution  $\xi(\cdot)$  of (3) we have that for all positive  $c'$  and  $\alpha'$ , there exist  $T > 0$  such that

$$\|\xi(T)\| > c' e^{-\alpha' T} \|\xi_0\|. \quad (11)$$

Let  $c$  and  $\alpha$  be the constants corresponding to the contractivity condition (2), we will now show, that the choice  $c' := \frac{3}{2}c$  and  $\alpha' := \alpha$  leads to a contradiction. In fact, choose  $T > 0$  such that

$$\|\xi(T)\| > \frac{3}{2} c e^{-\alpha T} \|\xi_0\| \quad (12)$$

holds. Let  $\hat{x}(\cdot)$  be a solution of (1) with initial value  $\hat{x}(t_0) = x_0 + \delta \xi_0$  for a (small) parameter  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the first part of the proof we have shown that  $\xi(t) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{x}(t) - x(t)}{\delta}$ , in particular, we have at time  $T$  that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{x}(T) - x(T)}{\delta} = \xi(T). \quad (13)$$

In particular, for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we have that

$$\frac{\|\hat{x}(T) - x(T)\|}{\delta} > \frac{2}{3} \|\xi(T)\|. \quad (14)$$

Combining (12) with (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{x}(T) - x(T)\| &> \frac{2}{3} \delta \|\xi(T)\| \\ &> c e^{-\alpha T} \|\delta \xi_0\| \\ &= c e^{-\alpha T} \|\hat{x}(t_0) - x(t_0)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This is in contradiction to the contractivity of (1), which concludes this step of the proof.

*We show: UGES  $\Rightarrow$  Contracting.* Let us consider two solutions  $x(t) = \varphi(\cdot, x_0)$  and  $\hat{x}(t) = \varphi(t, \hat{x}_0)$  of (1). We already highlighted in the first part of the proof that the map  $x_0 \mapsto \varphi(t, x_0)$  is differentiable for each fixed  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ . Consequently, we can utilize the fundamental theorem of calculus for line integrals to obtain

$$\hat{x}(t) - x(t) = \int_{x_0}^{\hat{x}_0} \nabla_y \varphi(t, y) dy. \quad (16)$$

From UGES of (3) and (10) it follows that there exists positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$\|\nabla_y \varphi(t, y)\| \leq c e^{-\alpha t} \underbrace{\|\nabla_y \varphi(t_0, y)\|}_{=I} = c e^{-\alpha t}, \quad (17)$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Using (17) to get the upper bound of (16), we have

$$\|\hat{x}(t) - x(t)\| \leq c e^{-\alpha t} \|\hat{x}_0 - x_0\|, \quad (18)$$

which implies that (3) is contracting. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### IV. SWITCHING LAW DESIGN

In general, when individual systems are contracting, the switched systems can be made contracting under some mild assumptions (that corresponds to the existence of a common Lyapunov function). Instead of considering this situation, in this section, we study the property of contraction of switched systems whose modes are composed of a mixture of contracting and non-contracting modes. The switched systems under study include also the worst case, where all individual systems are not contracting<sup>1</sup>, and we provide sufficient conditions on MDADT/MDALT (whose precise definition will shortly be given below) that guarantee the contraction of the switched systems. The use of MDADT/MDALT property in this paper is in contrast to the existing results in literature that are based on common dwell time. For this purpose, we define  $\mathcal{S}$  as the set of all stable modes and  $\mathcal{U}$  as the set of all unstable modes. In our main result, we propose a new class of switching signals that is suited for switched systems with stable and unstable modes.

Denoting  $N_{\sigma p}(t_1, t_2)$  as the number of times that the  $p^{\text{th}}$  mode is activated in the interval  $[t_1, t_2)$ , and  $T_p(t_1, t_2)$  as the sum of the running time of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  mode in the interval  $[t_1, t_2)$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . We revisit the following definitions of mode dependent average dwell time in [7].

*Definition 4.1:* A constant  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  is called (slow) mode dependent average dwell time (MDADT) for mode  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  of a switching signal  $\sigma : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , if there exist a constant  $N_{0p}$  such that for all finite time intervals  $[t_1, t_2) \subseteq [t_0, \infty)$  we have

$$N_{\sigma p}(t_1, t_2) \leq N_{0p} + \frac{T_p(t_1, t_2)}{\tau_{ap}}. \quad (19)$$

*Definition 4.2:* A constant  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  is called mode dependent average leave time (MDALT) for mode  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  of a switching signal  $\sigma : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , if there exist a constant  $N_{0p}$  such that for all finite time intervals  $[t_1, t_2) \subseteq [t_0, \infty)$ ,

$$N_{\sigma p}(t_1, t_2) \geq N_{0p} + \frac{T_p(t_1, t_2)}{\tau_{ap}}. \quad (20)$$

*Remark 4.3:* In Definition 4.2 we refer to  $\tau_{ap}$  as the mode dependent average leave time (MDALT) instead of fast mode dependent average dwell time as e.g. in [7]. We prefer the former, because  $\tau_{ap}$  in Definition 4.2 is not related to how long (at least, on average) the system dwells (remains) in a certain mode, but when the system has to leave a certain mode at the latest (on average). So ‘‘leave time’’ seems a better naming choice for  $\tau_{ap}$  than ‘‘fast dwell time’’.

<sup>1</sup>Equivalently, the corresponding variational system (3) is not UGES [15], [20].

We present now the following theorem on the contracting properties of switched systems (1) with MDADT and/or MDALT.

**Theorem 4.4:** Consider switched nonlinear system (1) with switching signal  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  and corresponding switching times  $\mathcal{S} := \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots\}$ . Assume that we can classify each mode  $p$  as being either stable or unstable, i.e. assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \dot{\cup} \mathcal{U}$  and, correspondingly, assume the switching signal  $\sigma$  has a MDADT  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  for each stable mode  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  and a MDALT  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  for each unstable mode  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore, assume that for each mode  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  there exist  $\bar{v}_p \geq v_p \geq 0$  and a continuously differentiable function  $V_p : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  with

$$\dot{V}_p(x, \xi, t) := \nabla_{(x, \xi)} V_p(x, \xi, t) \begin{pmatrix} f_p(x, t) \\ F_p(x, t, \xi) \end{pmatrix} + \nabla_t V_p(x, \xi, t)$$

such that for all  $(x, \xi, t)$

$$v_p \|\xi\|_2^2 \leq V_p(x, \xi, t) \leq \bar{v}_p \|\xi\|_2^2, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (21)$$

$$\dot{V}_p(x, \xi, t) \leq \eta_p V_p(x, \xi, t), \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (22)$$

with  $\eta_p \geq 0$  if  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $\eta_p < 0$  otherwise. Finally, assume that for every  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists  $\mu_p > 0$  such that

$$V_{\sigma(t_i)}(x, \xi, t_i) \leq \mu_{\sigma(t_i^-)} V_{\sigma(t_i^-)}(x, \xi, t_i), \quad \forall t_i \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (23)$$

Without loss of generality, we let  $\mu_p > 1$  for  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then the switched nonlinear system (1) is contracting if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tau_{ap} > \underline{\tau}_{ap} &:= -\frac{\ln \mu_p}{\eta_p}, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{S}, \\ \tau_{ap} < \bar{\tau}_{ap} &:= -\frac{\ln \mu_p}{\eta_p}, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (24)$$

We note that  $\tau_{ap} < \bar{\tau}_{ap}$  in (24) can only be satisfied if  $\mu_p \in (0, 1)$  for  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x(\cdot)$  be a solution of (1) and let  $\xi(\cdot)$  be a solution of the corresponding system (3). We will show in the following that there exists  $k > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  (independent from  $x(\cdot)$  and  $\xi(\cdot)$ ) such that

$$V_{\sigma(t)}(x(t), \xi(t), t) \leq k e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} V_{\sigma(t_0)}(x_0, \xi_0, t_0). \quad (25)$$

From (21) we can then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_{\sigma(t_n)}}} V_{\sigma(t_n)}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x(t), \xi(t), t) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{k}{v_{\sigma(t_n)}}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t-t_0)} V_{\sigma(t_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_0, \xi_0, t_0) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\bar{v}_{\sigma(t_0)}}{k v_{\sigma(t_n)}}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t-t_0)} \|\xi_0\|. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

According to (26) and Definition 2.2, we can then conclude that system (3) is UGES and hence Proposition 3.1 yields that the system (1) is contracting.

Towards showing (25) first observe that for any  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)$  and  $p := \sigma(t_i^-)$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} V_p(x(t), \xi(t), t) = \dot{V}_p(x(t), \xi(t), t).$$

Consequently, in view of (23) and (22),

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\sigma(t_i)}(x(t_i), \xi(t_i), t_i) \\ &\leq \mu_{\sigma(t_i^-)} V_{\sigma(t_i^-)}(x(t_i), \xi(t_i), t_i) \\ &= \mu_{\sigma(t_{i-1})} V_{\sigma(t_{i-1})}(x(t_i), \xi(t_i), t_i) \\ &\leq \mu_{\sigma(t_{i-1})} e^{\eta_{\sigma(t_{i-1})}(t_i-t_{i-1})} V_{\sigma(t_{i-1})}(x(t_{i-1}), \xi(t_{i-1}), t_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Recursively applying this inequality, we arrive at, for  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ ,

$$V_{\sigma(t_i)}(x(t), \xi(t), t) \leq c_{\sigma}(t) V_{\sigma(t_0)}(x, \xi, t_0), \quad (27)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\sigma}(t) &= e^{\eta_{\sigma(t_i)}(t-t_i)} \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} \mu_{\sigma(t_k)} e^{\eta_{\sigma(t_k)}(t_{k+1}-t_k)} \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_p^{N_{\sigma p}(t, t_0)} e^{\eta_p T_p(t, t_0)} \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{M}} e^{N_{\sigma p}(t, t_0) \ln \mu_p + \eta_p T_p(t, t_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, we have for  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  that  $\ln \mu_p > 0$  and hence by (19)

$$N_{\sigma p}(t, t_0) \ln \mu_p + \eta_p T_p(t, t_0) \leq N_{0p} \ln \mu_p + (\eta_p + \frac{\ln \mu_p}{\tau_{ap}}) T_p(t, t_0);$$

and for  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  we have  $\ln \mu_p < 0$  and hence by (20) we arrive at the same inequality as above. Let  $\lambda_p := \eta_p + \frac{\ln \mu_p}{\tau_{ap}}$ , then from (24) together with  $\ln \mu_p > 0$  for  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\ln \mu_p < 0$  for  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have that  $\lambda_p < 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ . With  $k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_p^{N_{0p}}$  and  $\lambda := \min_{p \in \mathcal{M}} (-\lambda_p) > 0$  we obtain

$$c_{\sigma}(t) \leq k \prod_{p \in \mathcal{M}} e^{-\lambda T_p(t, t_0)} = k e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)},$$

where we used the fact that  $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{M}} T_p(t, t_0) = t - t_0$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Different from Corollary 1 in [7], we do not need here to consider the ordering of stable and unstable subsystems.

**Remark 4.5:** The results for all modes are contracting in [30], [37], can be considered as a particular case of Theorem 4.4. In particular, if we assume that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}$  in Theorem 4.4 then the switched nonlinear system (1) is contracting for any MDADT switching signals satisfying  $\tau_{ap} > \underline{\tau}_{ap} = -\frac{\ln \mu_p}{\eta_p}, \forall p \in \mathcal{M}$ , which recovers the results of Theorem 1 in [30] and Proposition 1 in [37].

For switched system (1), if all subsystems are non-contracting, which represents the worst case scenario, the distance increment between two trajectories will not be contracting in each mode and it can only be compensated by at the switching events. In this case, we have the following corollary from Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 4.6:** Using the notation of Theorem 4.4, assume that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{U}$ , i.e. we assume all modes are non-contracting. Then the switched nonlinear system (1) is contracting for any MDALT switching signals satisfying

$$\tau_{ap} \leq \bar{\tau}_{ap} = -\frac{\ln \mu_p}{\eta_p}, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (28)$$

Although Theorem 4.4 provides a general framework to handle the contraction analysis problem, it is impractical for actual use, since it does not provide means to construct the Lyapunov functions  $V_p(x, \xi, t)$  using existing computational techniques. In addition, when noncontracting subsystems are involved, we cannot find a monotonically decreasing Lyapunov function for each subsystem. Inequality (22) implies that the value of  $V_p(x, \xi, t)$  may increase in some time interval with a bounded rate  $\eta_p > 0$ . The same as switched systems with all subsystems unstable, it is not easy to find a Lyapunov function and the corresponding parameter  $\eta_p$  satisfying (22). Different from [13, Thm. 1] that uses the DT to ensure asymptotic stability for all unstable mode switching systems, we consider here the use of MDALT to ensure exponential stability of the switched systems. Based on Theorem 4.4, we will establish a sufficient condition that

is easily verifiable for analysing the contraction property of switched systems.

Let us consider a time dependent Lyapunov function of the quadratic form  $V_p(x, \xi, t) = \xi^\top M_p(t) \xi$  for some matrix function  $M_p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with symmetric, positive definite values. The following lemma provides conditions on such Lyapunov functions to ensure the contracting property of switched system (1).

*Lemma 4.7:* Consider a switched nonlinear system (1) with given switching times  $\mathcal{S} := \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n, \dots\}$  generated by  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . Let each mode  $p$  be classified as either stable or unstable, i.e.  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \dot{\cup} \mathcal{U}$  and correspondingly assume that there exists  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  such that (19) holds for the stable mode  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  or (20) holds for the unstable mode  $p \in \mathcal{U}$ . Suppose that for each mode  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  there exist  $\bar{m}_p \geq \underline{m}_p \geq 0$  and a time dependent symmetric matrix  $M_p(t)$  such that

$$\underline{m}_p I \leq M_p(t) \leq \bar{m}_p I, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (29)$$

$$F_p(x, t)^\top M_p(t) + \dot{M}_p(t) + M_p(t) F_p(x, t) \leq \eta_p M_p(t), \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (30)$$

with  $\eta_p \geq 0$  if  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $\eta_p < 0$  otherwise. Assume that for every  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists  $\mu_p > 0$ , such that

$$M_{\sigma(t_i)}(t_i) \leq \mu_{\sigma(t_i^-)} M_{\sigma(t_i^-)}(t_i^-), \quad \forall t_i \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (31)$$

Then the switched nonlinear system (1) is contracting for any MDADT/MDALT switching signals satisfying (24).

**PROOF.** By taking a Lyapunov function in the form of  $V_p(x, \xi, t) = \xi_p^\top M_p(t) \xi_p$ , it follows that (29) and (31) satisfy (21) and (23) in Theorem 4.4, respectively. By differentiating  $V_p(x, \xi, t)$  along the trajectory of system (3), we have  $\dot{V}_p(x, \xi, t) = \xi_p^\top (F_p(x, t)^\top M_p(t) + \dot{M}_p(t) + M_p(t) F_p(x, t)) \xi_p$ . Using (30), it follows that  $\dot{V}_p(x, \xi, t) \leq \eta_p V_p(x, \xi, t)$ , e.g. (22) holds. By Theorem 4.4, it implies that the switched system (1) is contracting for any switching signals satisfying (24).  $\square$

We note that the most popular quadratic Lyapunov function in contraction analysis literature is  $V_p(x, \xi, t) = \xi^\top M_p \xi$ , where  $M_p$  is a positive definite constant matrix [21]–[24]. In this case,  $\dot{M}_p(t)$  in (30) is vanished. However, in the contraction analysis problem, since  $F(x)$  in (3) is time-varying and state-dependent, the existence of such a *constant* matrix  $M_p$  is not always possible. In addition, in this paper we do not exclude the case that the system switches from an unstable mode  $q$  to another unstable mode  $p$  and then back to mode  $q$  again; in this case (31) becomes for constant matrices  $M_p$  and  $M_q$ ,  $M_q \leq \mu_p M_p \leq \mu_p \mu_q M_q$  with  $0 < \mu_p < 1$ ,  $0 < \mu_q < 1$ , which cannot be satisfied. Hence, in general, allowing for time-varying matrix  $M_p(t)$  in Lemma 4.7 leads to a significantly less conservative stability condition. For a general time dependent matrix  $M_p(t)$ , the inequality (31) is not trivial to solve. Another well-known technique to solve such a problem is the discretized Lyapunov function technique which is widely used in the stabilization of linear switched systems [12]–[14]. The basic idea of the discretized Lyapunov function technique is to linearize  $M_p(t)$  into the form of  $\frac{t-t_i}{\tau_{dp}} P_p + (1 - \frac{t-t_i}{\tau_{dp}}) Q_p$ . However, as we will show later in Example 5.2 of Section V, it can be difficult to find such  $M_p(t)$  for some simple systems.

In order to compensate the conservativity brought by the Matrix Young inequality, in the following, we propose a construction of  $M_p(t)$  in a nonlinear fashion by the addition of  $\phi_p(t) \left(1 - \phi_p(t)\right) G_p$  to  $M_p(t)$ , which is more general than the discretized Lyapunov function proposed in [12]–[14]. In particular, by considering the class of switching signals with mode dependent strict dwell time  $\tau_{dp} > 0$ , i.e., each mode  $p$  is active at least for  $\tau_{dp}$  time before

switching to another mode, we can transform the inequality condition of (29)–(31) into LMI conditions in Theorem 4.10 presented below. This is achieved by introducing a time-varying Lyapunov function that interpolates two quadratic constant Lyapunov functions in a prescribed dwell time  $\tau_{dp}$ . Before stating our main result, we first recall two technical lemmas on matrix algebra.

*Lemma 4.8:* (Matrix Young inequality): For any  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and any symmetric positive-definite matrix  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$X^\top Y + Y^\top X \leq X^\top S X + Y^\top S^{-1} Y \quad (32)$$

holds.

*Lemma 4.9:* (Lemma 2 in [10]) Consider the matrix polynomial  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) = \Sigma_0 + \tau_1 \Sigma_1 + \tau_1 \tau_2 \Sigma_2 + \dots + \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \tau_k \right) \Sigma_n, \quad \forall \tau_k \in [0, 1]. \quad (33)$$

If the matrices  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are symmetric and satisfy  $\sum_{k=0}^d \Sigma_k < 0$  (or  $\sum_{k=0}^d \Sigma_k > 0$ ) for all  $d = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , then  $f(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) < 0$  (or  $f(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) > 0$ ).

*Theorem 4.10:* Consider switched nonlinear system (1) with globally Lipschitz  $f_p$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  and with given switching times  $\mathcal{S} := \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n, \dots\}$  generated by  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . Assume that the modes can be classified as stable or unstable, i.e.  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \dot{\cup} \mathcal{U}$  and assume that for every mode  $p$  there exists  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  such that (19) for  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  or (20) for  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  holds. Suppose that for each mode  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  there exist a minimum mode dependent dwell time  $\tau_{dp} > 0$ , a constant matrix  $A_p$ , a positive definite matrix  $\Gamma_p$ , symmetric constant matrices  $P_p$ ,  $Q_p$ ,  $G_p$ , and positive constants  $\bar{m}_p > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_p \geq 0$  such that  $f_p$  is decomposed<sup>2</sup> into

$$f_p(x, t) = A_p x + g_p(x, t), \quad (34)$$

with  $\nabla_x g_p(x, t)^\top \nabla_x g_p(x, t) \leq \Gamma_p$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and

$$0 < Q_p < \bar{m}_p I, \quad 0 < P_p < \bar{m}_p I, \quad 0 < P_p + G_p < \bar{m}_p I, \quad (35)$$

$$A_p^\top Q_p + Q_p A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}} (G_p + P_p - Q_p) + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p Q_p \leq \eta_p Q_p, \quad (36)$$

$$A_p^\top (P_p + G_p) + (P_p + G_p) A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}} (P_p - Q_p - G_p) + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p (P_p + G_p) \leq \eta_p (P_p + G_p), \quad (37)$$

$$A_p^\top P_p + P_p A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}} (P_p - Q_p - G_p) + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p P_p \leq \eta_p P_p, \quad (38)$$

$$A_p^\top P_p + P_p A_p + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p P_p \leq \eta_p P_p, \quad (39)$$

hold with  $\eta_p \geq 0$  if  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $\eta_p < 0$  otherwise. Assume that for every  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists  $\mu_p > 0$  such that

$$Q_{\sigma(t_i)} \leq \mu_{\sigma(t_i^-)} P_{\sigma(t_i^-)}, \quad \forall t_i \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (40)$$

Then the switched nonlinear system (1) is contracting for any MDADT/MDALT switching signals satisfying (24), and which have mode dependent dwell time  $\tau_{dp} > 0$ .

<sup>2</sup>This decomposition is well-posed since the vector field  $f_p$  is assumed to be globally Lipschitz. The matrix  $A_p$  in this decomposition can be non-Hurwitz, which is relevant for the unstable modes.

PROOF. Let us define  $M_p(t)$  in the following form

$$M_p(t) = \begin{cases} \phi_p(t)(1 - \phi_p(t))G_p + \phi_p(t)P_p + (1 - \phi_p(t))Q_p, \\ \quad t \in [t_i, t_i + \tau_{dp}), \\ P_p, \quad t \in [t_i + \tau_{dp}, t_{i+1}), \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

where  $\phi_p(t) = \frac{t-t_i}{\tau_{dp}}$ , so that  $M_p(t_i) = Q_p$  and  $M_p(t_i + \tau_{dp}) = P_p$ . Note that  $M_p(t)$  is positive definite according to (35) and Lemma 4.9. Now, let us consider  $M_p(t)$  in the time interval  $[t_i, t_i + \tau_{dp})$ . The time derivative of  $M_p(t)$  is given by

$$\dot{M}_p(t) = \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) - \phi_p(t)\frac{2}{\tau_{dp}}G_p. \quad (42)$$

For  $t \in [t_i, t_i + \tau_{dp})$ , we obtain from (30), (41) and (42) that

$$F_p(x, t)^\top M_p(t) + \dot{M}_p(t) + M_p(t)F_p(x, t) - \eta_p M_p(t) = \Sigma_1 + \phi_p(t)\Sigma_2 + \phi_p^2(t)\Sigma_3, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= F_p^\top Q_p + Q_p F_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) - \eta_p Q_p, \\ \Sigma_2 &= F_p^\top (G_p + P_p - Q_p) + (G_p + P_p - Q_p)F_p \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\tau_{dp}}G_p - \eta_p(G_p + P_p - Q_p), \\ \Sigma_3 &= -F_p^\top G_p - G_p F_p + \eta_p G_p. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

According to (34), and Lemma 4.8 (for  $S = \epsilon_p I$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= (A_p + \nabla_x g_p)^\top Q_p + Q_p (A_p + \nabla_x g_p) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) - \eta_p Q_p \\ &\leq A_p^\top Q_p + Q_p A_p + \epsilon_p^{-1} \nabla_x g_p^\top \nabla_x g_p + \epsilon_p Q_p Q_p + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) - \eta_p Q_p \\ &\leq A_p^\top Q_p + Q_p A_p + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p Q_p + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) - \eta_p Q_p, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 &= F_p^\top (G_p + P_p) + (G_p + P_p)F_p + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(P_p - Q_p - G_p) - \eta_p(G_p + P_p) \\ &\leq A_p^\top (G_p + P_p) + (G_p + P_p)A_p + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \\ &\quad \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p (G_p + P_p) + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(P_p - Q_p - G_p) - \\ &\quad \eta_p (G_p + P_p), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 &= F_p^\top P_p + P_p F_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(P_p - Q_p - G_p) - \eta_p P_p \\ &\leq A_p^\top P_p + P_p A_p + \epsilon_p^{-1} \Gamma_p + \epsilon_p \bar{m}_p P_p + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(P_p - Q_p - G_p) - \eta_p P_p, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Using the hypotheses (36), (37), and (38) of the theorem, it follows that  $\Sigma_1 < 0$ ,  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 < 0$ ,  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 < 0$ . Since  $\phi_p(t) \in [0, 1]$ , it follows from Lemma 4.9 that (43) is negative definite. Similarly, for  $t \in [t_i + \tau_{dp}, t_{i+1})$ , (43) is negative definite according to (39). Consequently, in combination with (35), (40), and (24), all hypotheses in Lemma 4.7 are satisfied and the claim of the theorem follows immediately.  $\square$

The condition of  $g_p$  after (34) means that  $g_p$  is globally Lipschitz with the Lipschitz constant given by  $\|\Gamma_p\|$ . Such inequality can be found in Lipschitz nonlinear systems, including the studies in [34]–[36] and systems described by fully actuated mechanical systems, such as, robotic systems.

*Remark 4.11:* Suppose that the hypotheses in Theorem 4.10 hold with  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{U}$ , i.e. all modes are non-contracting. Then the switched nonlinear system (1) is contracting for any MDALT switching signals satisfying (28).

As an interesting particular case of our main results above, let us consider the stabilization of linear switched systems where all modes are unstable. Using results in Theorem 4.10, we can stabilize such switched unstable systems. Consider a linear switched system given by

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \quad (48)$$

where  $x(t)$  and  $\sigma(t)$  are as in (1), and  $A_p$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , are unstable matrices for each mode  $p$ .

*Corollary 4.12:* Consider a linear switched system (48) with a given switching sequence  $\mathcal{S} := \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n\}$  generated by  $\sigma(t)$ . Assume that there exists  $\tau_{ap} > 0$  such that (20) holds. Suppose that for each mode  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  there exist a minimum mode dependent dwell time  $\tau_{dp} > 0$ , symmetric constant matrices  $P_p$ ,  $Q_p$ ,  $G_p$ , and scalars  $\bar{m}_p > 0$  and  $0 < \mu_p < 1$ , such that (35), (40), and the following inequalities

$$A_p^\top Q_p + Q_p A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) \leq \eta_p Q_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_p^\top (P_p + G_p) + (P_p + G_p)A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(P_p - Q_p - G_p) \\ \leq \eta_p (P_p + G_p), \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$A_p^\top P_p + P_p A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(P_p - Q_p - G_p) \leq \eta_p P_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (51)$$

$$A_p^\top P_p + P_p A_p \leq \eta_p P_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (52)$$

hold. Then the switched system (48) is exponentially stable for any MDALT switching signals satisfying (28) and with mode-dependent dwell times  $\tau_{dp} > 0$ .

PROOF. The proof follows vis-à-vis with the proof of Theorem 4.10 adapted to the switched linear system (48). In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_p^\top M_p(t) + \dot{M}_p(t) + M_p(t)A_p - \eta_p M_p(t) = \\ \Sigma_1 + \phi_p(t)\Sigma_2 + \phi_p^2(t)\Sigma_3, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= A_p^\top Q_p + Q_p A_p + \frac{1}{\tau_{dp}}(G_p + P_p - Q_p) - \eta_p Q_p, \\ \Sigma_2 &= A_p^\top (G_p + P_p - Q_p) + (G_p + P_p - Q_p)A_p \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\tau_{dp}}G_p - \eta_p(G_p + P_p - Q_p), \\ \Sigma_3 &= -A_p^\top G_p - G_p A_p + \eta_p G_p. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

It follows from (49), (50), (51), (54) and Lemma 4.9 that (53) is negative definite. Then, following Theorem 4.10, the linear switched systems (48) is contracting. Since  $x(t) = 0$  is one of admissible trajectories of (48) and it is contracting, it follows that all the trajectories will converge to  $x(t) = 0$  exponentially.  $\square$

We remark that if  $G_p = 0$ , Corollary 4.12 specializes to the discretized Lyapunov function technique from [12]–[14]. In addition, our approach is a further generalization because we consider mode-dependent dwell-time condition.

## V. SIMULATION SETUP AND RESULTS

In this section, two numerical examples will be presented. In the first case, we apply our results to design the switching law for the system with noncontracting subsystems. In the second case, we analyze the stability of a linear switched system whose subsystems are all unstable.

*Example 5.1:* Consider a switched system (1) consisting of two noncontracting subsystems, whose dynamics take the form

$$\begin{aligned} p = 1 : & \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -0.1x_1 - 0.9x_2 - 0.2 \cos(0.1x_1), \\ \dot{x}_2 = 0.1x_1 - 1.4x_2 - 0.7 \cos(0.1x_2), \end{cases} \\ p = 2 : & \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -1.9x_1 + 0.6x_2 + 0.7 \cos(0.1x_2), \\ \dot{x}_2 = 0.6x_1 - 0.1x_2 + 0.2 \cos(0.1x_2). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector. It can be checked that for each mode, there exist a positive eigenvalue of  $\nabla_x f_i(x, t)$  which satisfies  $\lambda_1 \geq 0.0130$  (for the first mode) or  $\lambda_2 \geq 0.0948$  (for the second mode). In other words, each individual system is non-contracting. As a result, the methods used in [30], [31] are no longer applicable in this particular case.

Using Theorem 4.10, where we fix  $\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_2 = 0.1$ ,  $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0.3$ ,  $\mu_1 = 0.65$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0.6$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 1$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0004 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.005 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.005 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0004 \end{bmatrix}$ , it can be checked that using the following symmetric constant matrices


$$\begin{aligned} P_i : & \begin{bmatrix} 0.0398 & -0.0071 \\ -0.0071 & 0.0933 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} 0.0881 & -0.0208 \\ -0.0208 & 0.0547 \end{bmatrix}, \\ Q_i : & \begin{bmatrix} 0.0493 & -0.0129 \\ -0.0129 & 0.0326 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} 0.0235 & -0.0013 \\ -0.0013 & 0.0554 \end{bmatrix}, \\ G_i : & \begin{bmatrix} -0.0038 & 0.0013 \\ 0.0013 & -0.0272 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} -0.0340 & 0.0107 \\ 0.0107 & -0.0064 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

the LMI problem given by (35)-(40) is feasible. Correspondingly, we have MDALTs as  $\bar{\tau}_{a1} = 1.435$ ,  $\bar{\tau}_{a2} = 1.702$ , and the minimum dwell time for each mode as  $\tau_{d1} = \tau_{d2} = 0.5$ . To illustrate the contraction property, we consider switching signals with periodic switching time (each  $p$  mode has the same dwell time). Three different switching signals are initialized as: (i).  $\tau_{a1} = 1$ ,  $\tau_{a2} = 1$ ; (ii).  $\tau_{a1} = 0.5$ ,  $\tau_{a2} = 1.7$ ; and (iii).  $\tau_{a1} = 1.43$ ,  $\tau_{a2} = 0.5$  are apply to the system. As all switching signals that satisfy hypotheses of Theorem 4.10, we can conclude that the switched system is contracting. The trajectory errors of the switched system with two different initial conditions are shown in Figure 1. This figure shows that despite each mode is noncontracting and the distance between the trajectories may increase in each mode (before the first switching, all the errors are increasing), the increments are compensated by the switching behaviors (at the each switching, the errors are decreasing rapidly), so that the trajectories converge to each other asymptotically (the errors converge to zero). In addition, trajectories of the switching law (ii).  $\tau_{a1} = 0.5$ ,  $\tau_{a2} = 1.7$  with two different initial conditions  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are shown in Figure 2.


*Example 5.2:* Let us consider a linear switched system (48) that is composed of two modes as follows.

$$p = 1 : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -1.9x_1 + 0.6x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = 0.5x_1 + 0.7x_2, \end{cases} \quad p = 2 : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = 0.5x_1 - 0.9x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = 0.1x_1 - 1.4x_2. \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

It can be checked that the unstable pole for each mode is given by  $\lambda_1 = 0.8107$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0.4514$ , respectively. We can directly apply Corollary 4.6 to this switched system, where we fix  $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1.7$ ,  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0.7$ , and  $\tau_{d1} = \tau_{d2} = 0.2$ . If we apply discretized Lyapunov function technique as presented in [12], [13] to this switched system, the corresponding LMIs are not feasible or



**Fig. 1:** The plot of error trajectories of the switched system as presented in Example 5.1 for three different switching signals. The error trajectory  $e_1$  corresponds to periodic switching signal with  $\tau_{a1} = 1$  and  $\tau_{a2} = 1$ ;  $e_2$  corresponds to that with  $\tau_{a1} = 0.5$  and  $\tau_{a2} = 1.7$ ; and  $e_3$  refers to that with  $\tau_{a1} = 1.43$  and  $\tau_{a2} = 0.5$ .



**Fig. 2:** The plot of trajectories of switched system in Example 5.1 initialized at  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for mode 1 and 2, respectively, and using a periodic switching signal with  $\tau_{a1} = 0.5$  and  $\tau_{a2} = 1.7$ .

$\tau_{dp} > -\frac{\ln \mu_p}{\eta_p}$ . However, in our result, it can be checked that using the following symmetric constant matrices

$$\begin{aligned} P_i : & \begin{bmatrix} 62.9289 & -1.6230 \\ -1.6230 & 50.5991 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} 41.6025 & 7.7470 \\ 7.7470 & 75.9246 \end{bmatrix}, \\ Q_i : & \begin{bmatrix} 26.4695 & 5.7076 \\ 5.7076 & 51.6393 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} 41.1033 & -0.6396 \\ -0.6396 & 34.2129 \end{bmatrix}, \\ G_i : & \begin{bmatrix} -12.7895 & 2.7501 \\ 2.7501 & 0.3126 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} 1.1959 & -1.5380 \\ -1.5380 & -13.3743 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

the LMI problem given by (49)-(52) is feasible. Correspondingly, we have  $\bar{\tau}_{a1} = \bar{\tau}_{a2} = 0.21$ . By considering a periodic switching signal with  $\tau_{a1} = \tau_{a2} = 0.2$  for the corresponding switched system with initial state  $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$ , Figure 3 shows the resulting state trajectories which converge to zero as expected.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the contraction property of switched systems with mixed contracting-noncontracting modes have been studied. It is established based on a necessary and sufficient condition that connects the contraction property of the original switched systems and the UGES of its variational systems. A time-dependent Lyapunov function and a mixed MDADT/MDALT method are introduced to study the UGES of the switched variational systems. Furthermore LMI conditions are presented that allow for numerical validation on the contraction property of switched systems with computable mode-dependent average dwell-time. Our results can be applied to stabilize linear switched systems with all unstable modes.




Fig. 3: The plot of state trajectories of  $x_i$  in Example 5.2 with initial condition  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$  and using periodic switching signal with  $\tau_{a1} = \tau_{a2} = 0.2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Mikael Eklund, Jonathan Sprinkle, and S. Shankar Sastry, "Switched and symmetric pursuit/evasion games using online model predictive control with application to autonomous aircraft," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 20:3, pp. 604-620, 2011.
- [2] Hamed Molla-Ahmadian, Ali Karimpour, Naser Pariz, and Farzad Tahami, "Hybrid modeling of a DC-DC series resonant converter: Direct piecewise affine approach," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 59:12, pp. 3112-3120, 2012.
- [3] Hao Shen, Mengping Xing, Zheng-Guang Wu, Shengyuan Xu, and Jinde Cao, "Multiobjective fault-tolerant control for fuzzy switched systems with persistent dwell time and its application in electric circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 28:10, pp. 2335-2347, 2019.
- [4] Zhaojing Wu, Mingyue Cui, Peng Shi, and Hamid Reza Karimi, "Stability of stochastic nonlinear systems with state-dependent switching," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 58:8, pp. 1904-1918, 2013.
- [5] Stephen A. Morse, "Supervisory control of families of linear set-point controllers—part i: Exact matching," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 41:10, pp. 1413-1431, 1996.
- [6] Joao P. Hespanha, and A. Stephen Morse, "Stability of switched systems with average dwell-time," In *Proc. 38th IEEE Conf. Dec. Contr.*, vol. 3, pp. 2655-2660, Phoenix, 1999.
- [7] Xudong Zhao, Peng Shi, Yunfei Yin, and Sing Kiong Nguang, "New results on stability of slowly switched systems: A multiple discontinuous Lyapunov function approach," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 62:7, pp. 3502-3509, 2016.
- [8] Lixian Zhang, and El-Kébir Boukas, "Stability and stabilization of Markovian jump linear systems with partly unknown transition probabilities," *Automatica*, 45:2, pp. 463-468, 2009.
- [9] Wu-Hua Chen, and Wei Xing Zheng, "Delay-independent minimum dwell time for exponential stability of uncertain switched delay systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55:10, pp. 2406-2413, 2010.
- [10] Daniel Liberzon, and Stephen A. Morse, "Basic problems in stability and design of switched systems," *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, 19:5, pp. 59-70, 1999.
- [11] Jamal Daafouz, Pierre Riedinger, and Claude Iung, "Stability analysis and control synthesis for switched systems: a switched Lyapunov function approach," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 47:11, pp. 1883-1887, 2002.
- [12] Liron I. Allerhand, and Uri Shaked, "Robust state-dependent switching of linear systems with dwell time," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 58:4, pp. 994-1001, 2012.
- [13] Weiming Xiang, and Jian Xiao, "Stabilization of switched continuous-time systems with all modes unstable via dwell time switching," *Automatica*, 50:3, pp. 940-945, 2014.
- [14] Panshuo Li, James Lam, Renquan Lu, and Ka-Wai Kwok, "Stability and  $L_2$  Synthesis of a Class of Periodic Piecewise Time-Varying Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 64:8, pp. 3378-3384, 2018.
- [15] Jerome Jouffroy, and Thor I. Fossen, "A tutorial on incremental stability analysis using contraction theory," *Modeling, Identification and Control*, 31:3, pp. 93-106, 2010.
- [16] Vincent Andrieu, Bayu Jayawardhana, and Laurent Praly, "Transverse exponential stability and applications," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 61:11, pp. 3396-3411, 2016.
- [17] Vincent Andrieu, Bayu Jayawardhana, and Laurent Praly, "Characterizations of global transversal exponential stability," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 66:8, pp. 3682-3694, 2020.
- [18] Rodolfo Reyes Báez, Arjan van der Schaft, Bayu Jayawardhana and Le Pan, "A family of virtual contraction based controllers for tracking of flexible-joints port-Hamiltonian robots: Theory and experiments," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 30:8, pp. 3269-3295, 2020.
- [19] Lorenzo L. González-Romeo, Rodolfo Reyes Báez, J. Fermi Guerrero-Castellanos, Bayu Jayawardhana, Jaime J. Cid-Monjaraz and Olga G. Félix-Beltrán, "Contraction based Nonlinear Controller for a Laser Beam Stabilization System using a Variable Gain," *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, 5:3, pp. 761-766, 2021.
- [20] Nikita Barabanov, Romeo Ortega, and Anton Pyrkín, "On contraction of time-varying port-Hamiltonian systems," *Systems & Control Letters* 133 (2019): 104545.
- [21] Bin Liu, Bo Xu, and Tao Liu, "Almost Sure Contraction for Stochastic Switched Impulsive Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 66:11, pp. 5393-5400, 2020.
- [22] Quang-Cuong Pham, Nicolas Tabareau, and Jean-Jacques Slotine, "A contraction theory approach to stochastic incremental stability," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 54:4m pp. 816-820, 2009.
- [23] Winfried Lohmiller, and Jean-Jacques E. Slotine, "Nonlinear process control using contraction theory" *AIChE Journal*, 46:3, pp. 588-596, 2000.
- [24] Alexey Pavlov, Alexander Pogromsky, Nathan van de Wouw, and Henk Nijmeijer, "Convergent dynamics, a tribute to Boris Pavlovich Demidovich," *Systems & Control Letters*, 52:3-4, pp. 257-261, 2004.
- [25] Winfried Lohmiller, and Jean-Jacques E. Slotine, "On contraction analysis for non-linear systems," *Automatica*, 34:6, pp. 683-696, 1998.
- [26] Fulvio Forni, and Rodolphe Sepulchre, "A differential Lyapunov framework for contraction analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 59:3, pp. 614-628, 2013.
- [27] Giovanni Russo, Mario Di Bernardo, and Eduardo D. Sontag, "A contraction approach to the hierarchical analysis and design of networked systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 58:5, pp. 1328-1331, 2012.
- [28] Wei Wang, and Jean-Jacques E. Slotine, "On partial contraction analysis for coupled nonlinear oscillators," *Biological Cybernetics*, 92:1, pp. 38-53, 2005.
- [29] Mario Di Bernardo, Davide Liuzza, and Giovanni Russo, "Contraction analysis for a class of nondifferentiable systems with applications to stability and network synchronization," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 52:5, pp. 3203-3227, 2014.
- [30] Hao Yang, and Bin Jiang, "Incremental stability of switched non-linear systems," *IET Control Theory & Applications*, 10:2, pp. 220-225, 2016.
- [31] K.E. Rifai, and Jean-Jacques E. Slotine, "Compositional contraction analysis of resetting hybrid systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51:9, pp. 1536-1541, 2006.
- [32] Samuel A. Burden, Thomas Libby, and Samuel D. Coogan, "On contraction analysis for hybrid systems," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.03956*, 2018.
- [33] Davide Fiore, S. John Hogan, and Mario Di Bernardo, "Contraction analysis of switched systems via regularization," *Automatica*, 73, pp. 279-288, 2016.
- [34] Ali Zemouche, and Mohamed Boutayeb, "On LMI conditions to design observers for Lipschitz nonlinear systems," *Automatica*, 49:2, pp. 585-591, 2013.
- [35] Min-Shin Chen, and Chi-Che Chen, "Robust nonlinear observer for Lipschitz nonlinear systems subject to disturbances," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 52:12, pp. 2365-2369, 2007.
- [36] Rajesh Rajamani, "Observers for Lipschitz nonlinear systems," *IEEE transactions on Automatic Control*, 43:3, pp. 397-401, 1998.
- [37] Ryan McCloy, and Jie Bao, "Contraction-Based Control of Switched Nonlinear Systems Using Dwell Times and Switched Contraction Metrics," *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, 6, pp. 1382-1387, 2021.