Stephan Trenn

November 22, 2021

- p. 46 In ninth line from the bottom it should read δ_t instead of $\delta_t^{(n)}$
- p. 61 The $\overline{}_{qed}$ -symbol should be at the end of the equivalence
- p. 95 In Example 3.4.7(i) it should be N^{i+1} instead of N^i and there is minus sign missing in the solution formula, i.e. it should read

$$x_{[t_0,\infty)} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N^{i+1} x(t_0 -)\delta_{t_0}^{(i)}.$$

- Sec. 4.2.1 see also Vinicius A. Armentano "The pencil (sE-A) and controllability-observability for generalized linear systems: A geometric approach", Siam J. Control and Optimization 24(4), p. 616–638
- p. 83 In the fifth line from below it should be $\dot{x}_{[t_0,\infty)} = (Ax+f)_{[t_0,\infty)}$ instead of $\dot{x}_{[t_0,\infty)} = (Ax)_{[t_0,\infty)}$.
- p. 106 The name of Lemma 4.2.3 should read "Invertibility of [V, W] and [EV, AW]", furthermore the **proof is not complete**. The complete proof reads as:

Invertibility and existence of T follows from Lemma 4.2.2(ii). To show invertibility of [EV, AW] it is first shown that EV and AW each have full column rank. Therefore, consider $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n_1}$ with EVx = 0and AWy = 0. Invoking Lemma 4.2.2(ii) yields $Vx \in \mathcal{V}^* \cap \ker E = \{0\}$ and $Wy \in \mathcal{W}^* \cap \ker A = \{0\}$. Since V and W have full column rank it follows that x = 0 and y = 0, hence EV and AW have full rank. It remains to show that $E\mathcal{V}^* \cap A\mathcal{W}^* = \{0\}$. Therefore, consider $x \in$ $E\mathcal{V}^* \cap A\mathcal{W}^*$. Then there exists $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}^*$ with Ev = x = Aw, in particular $v \in E^{-1}(A\mathcal{W}^*) = \mathcal{W}^*$. Hence $v \in \mathcal{V}^* \cap \mathcal{W}^* = \{0\}$ and x = 0follows.

p. 112 several *J*s are missing, it should read:

hence, invoking Corollary 2.3.5,

$$(v(t+) - v(t-))\delta_t + \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \delta_t^{(k+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{K} J a_k \delta_t^{(k)},$$

or

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{K+1} b_k \delta_t^{(k)}$$

where $b_{N+1} = a_N$, $b_k = a_{k-1} - Ja_k$, $k = N, \dots, 1$, and $b_0 = v(t+) - v(t-) - Ja_0$.

p. 114

in the third and fourth equation it should read n_2 instead of n_1 , and, in line seven, extend 'since N is nilpotent' to "since $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, is nilpotent", finally, in the fifth equation, replace S by S^{-1} , i.e. it should read

Repeating this process yields, since $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, is nilpotent,

$$0 = N^{n_2} (w[t_i])^{(n_2)} = w[t_i] - \sum_{k=0}^{n_2-1} N^{k+1} (w(t_i+) - w(t_i-)) \delta_{t_i}^{(k)}$$

or

$$w[t_i] = \sum_{k=0}^{n_2-1} N^{k+1} \big(w(t_i+) - w(t_i-) \big) \delta_{t_i}^{(k)}.$$

Assumption (A1) and Theorem 4.2.8 yield

$$0 \stackrel{(A1)}{=} E_p(I - \Pi_p) x(t_i) \stackrel{\text{Thm. 4.2.8}}{=} E_p((x(t_i) - x(t_i)))$$
$$= S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v(t_i) - v(t_i) \\ w(t_i) - w(t_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

- p. 144
- p. 145
- in the second last line it should read " $\delta_{t_0}^{(i)}$ " instead of ' $\delta_{t_0}^{i}$ ' in the first line it should read " $\delta_{t_0}^{(i+1)}$ " instead of ' $\delta_{t_0}^{i+1}$ ' in Prop. 5.2.4 the first 'impulse-observable' should read "jump-observable", furtherp. 149 more in (5.2.3) it should read " $x[t_0] = 0$ " instead of ' $w[t_0] = 0$ "
- p. 164 just before Theorem 5.3.12 it should read "characterizations" instead of "characterization"
- p. 167 in the sixth line of Remark 5.3.14 it should read x_2 instead of ω and in the last line of this page it should read x_4 instead of ω