

Unimodular transformations for DAE initial trajectory problems

Stephan Trenn¹ and Benjamin Unger^{2,*}

¹ Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics, Computer Science, and Artificial Intelligence, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 9, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

² Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Str. des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

We consider linear time-invariant differential-algebraic equations (DAEs). For high-index DAEs, it is often the first step to perform an index reduction, which can be realized with a unimodular matrix. In this contribution, we illustrate the effect of unimodular transformations on initial trajectory problems associated with DAEs.

© 2021 The Authors *Proceedings in Applied Mathematics & Mechanics* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

1 Introduction

We consider linear time-invariant differential-algebraic equations (DAEs) of the form

$$E\dot{x} = Ax + f \tag{1}$$

with $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$ and inhomogeneity $f \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pw}\mathcal{C}^\infty}^\ell$, where $\mathbb{D}_{\text{pw}\mathcal{C}^\infty}$ denotes the space of piecewise-smooth distributions [1] and the more general higher order form

$$P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x = f \tag{2}$$

where $P(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\ell \times n}$. The first order formulation (1) is included in (2) as the special case $P(s) = sE - A$. It is easily seen (cf. the proof of [2, Thm. 7]) that these two descriptions are isomorphic, in particular, any higher order model can be written as a first order model. We also consider initial trajectory problems (ITPs) of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(-\infty,0)} &= x_{(-\infty,0)}^0, \\ \left(P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x\right)_{[0,\infty)} &= f_{[0,\infty)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with given initial trajectory x^0 . We want to study how unimodular transformation effect a solution of the ITP (3). More precisely, we are interested how a solution (x, f) of (3) is related to a solution (\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}) for the ITP

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_{(-\infty,0)} &= \tilde{x}_{(-\infty,0)}^0, \\ \left(\tilde{P}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\tilde{x}\right)_{[0,\infty)} &= \tilde{f}_{[0,\infty)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with $\tilde{P}(s) := U(s)P(s)V(s)$ where $U(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\ell \times \ell}$ and $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{n \times n}$ are unimodular. Our motivation for such a transformation is twofold: First, index reduction for a DAE can be performed via a suitable unimodular matrix $U(s)$, and second, transformations with unimodular matrices can be used to decide whether a delay differential-algebraic equation is delay-regular [3].

2 Unimodular transformations and initial trajectory problems

In order to compare solutions of (3) and (4) we make the following definition.

Definition 2.1 The DAE (2), respectively the ITP (3), is called *regular* if, and only if, for all initial trajectories $x^0 \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pw}\mathcal{C}^\infty}^n$ and all inhomogeneities $f \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pw}\mathcal{C}^\infty}^\ell$ a unique solution $x \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pw}\mathcal{C}^\infty}^n$ for the ITP (3) exists.

The ITP (3) can be interpreted as a switched DAE with a switch at time $t = 0$. Following [1, Thm. 3.5.2] and the proof of [2, Thm. 7] we conclude that the ITP (3) is regular if, and only if, $\ell = n$ and $\det(P(s)) \not\equiv 0$. Unimodularity of $U(s)$ and $V(s)$ implies that the ITP (3) is regular if, and only if, the transformed ITP (4) is regular.

Before we present our main result, we need the following technical observation.

Lemma 2.2 Consider $F \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pw}\mathcal{C}^\infty}^\ell$ and $U(s) = \sum_{k=0}^d U_k s^k \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\ell \times \ell}$. Then

$$U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(F_{[0,\infty)}\right) = \left(U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)F\right)_{[0,\infty)} + \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F^{(k-1-i)}(0^-)\delta^{(i)},$$

where δ denotes the Dirac impulse.

* Corresponding author: e-mail unger@math.tu-berlin.de



This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of [4, Prop. 12]. Indeed, applying [4, Prop. 12] yields

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)(F)_{[0,\infty)} &= \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k F_{[0,\infty)} = \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \left(\left(F^{(k)}\right)_{[0,\infty)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F^{(k-1-i)}(0^-)\delta^{(i)} \right) \\ &= \left(U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)F\right)_{[0,\infty)} + \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F^{(k-1-i)}(0^-)\delta^{(i)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.3 Let $P(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\ell \times n}$ and define $\tilde{P}(s) := U(s)P(s)V(s)$ with unimodular matrices $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{n \times n}$ and $U(s) = \sum_{k=0}^d U_k s^k \in \mathbb{R}[s]^{\ell \times \ell}$. For any $\tilde{x}^0, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pwC}^\infty}^n$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{pwC}^\infty}^\ell$ define

$$x := V\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\tilde{x}, \quad x^0 := V\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\tilde{x}^0, \quad \text{and} \quad f := U^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left(\tilde{f}_{[0,\infty)} + \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x^0\right)^{(k-1-i)}(0^-)\delta^{(i)} \right).$$

Then \tilde{x} is a solution of the ITP (4) with initial trajectory \tilde{x}^0 and inhomogeneity \tilde{f} if, and only if, x is a solution of the ITP (3) with initial trajectory x^0 and inhomogeneity f .

Proof. First assume that x is a solution of the ITP (3) with initial trajectory x^0 and inhomogeneity f . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_{(-\infty,0)} &= \left(V^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x\right)_{(-\infty,0)} = \left(V^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x_{(-\infty,0)}\right)_{(-\infty,0)} \\ &= \left(V^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x^0_{(-\infty,0)}\right)_{(-\infty,0)} = \left(V^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x^0\right)_{(-\infty,0)} = \tilde{x}^0_{(-\infty,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\tilde{P}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\tilde{x}\right)_{[0,\infty)} &= \left(U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x\right)_{[0,\infty)} \\ &= U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x\right)_{[0,\infty)} - \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x\right)^{(k-1-i)}(0^-)\delta^{(i)} \\ &= U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(f_{[0,\infty)}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^d U_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x^0\right)^{(k-1-i)}(0^-)\delta^{(i)} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{[0,\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., \tilde{x} is a solution of the ITP (4) with initial trajectory \tilde{x}^0 and inhomogeneity \tilde{f} .

The converse direction follows analogously. In fact, from \tilde{x} being a solution of the ITP (4) with initial trajectory \tilde{x}^0 and inhomogeneity \tilde{f} , it follows that $x_{(-\infty,0)} = x^0_{(-\infty,0)}$ and

$$U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(\left(P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)x\right)_{[0,\infty)}\right) = U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)f = U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)f_{[0,\infty)}. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.4 In an index-reduction procedure the inhomogeneity f in (2) is replaced with a linear combination of f and its derivatives. If however the solution of (2) is not smooth at $t = 0$, then additional Dirac impulses and derivatives of Dirac impulses may occur. In Theorem 2.3 this is accounted for in the definition of f .

Acknowledgements S. Trenn is supported by NWO Vidi grant 639.032.733 and DFG-grant TR 1223/4-1. B. Unger is supported by the DFG within the CRC 910, project number 163436311.

Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

References

- [1] S. Trenn, Distributional differential algebraic equations, PhD thesis, Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Ilmenau, Universitätsverlag Ilmenau, Germany, 2009.
- [2] S. Trenn and J. Willems, Switched behaviors with impulses - a unifying framework, in: Proc. 51st IEEE Conf. Decis. Control, Maui, USA, (Dec 2012), pp. 3203–3208.
- [3] S. Trenn and B. Unger, Delay regularity of differential-algebraic equations, in: Proc. 58th IEEE Conf. Decis. Control, Nice, France, (2019), pp. 989–994.
- [4] S. Trenn, Math. Control Signals Syst. **21**(3), 229–264 (2009).