



university of  
 groningen

faculty of science  
 and engineering

bernoulli institute for mathematics,  
 computer science and artificial intelligence

# The one-step-map for switched singular systems in discrete-time

**Stephan Trenn**

Jan C. Willems Center for Systems and Control  
 University of Groningen, Netherlands

Joint work with **Pham Ky Anh** and **Pham Thi Linh**, Vietnam National University  
 and **Do Duc Thuan**, Hanoi University for Science and Technology.

Supported by NAFOSTED project 101.01-2017.302 and by NWO Vidi grant 639.032.733.

**IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC 2019), Nice, France**  
 Wednesday, 11 December 2019, 11:20

# System class and motivation

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

- ›  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  **switching signal**
- ›  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $E$ -matrix **singular**
- ›  $x : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  state

## Motivation

- › Leontief economic model (*Luenberger 1977*)
- › discretization of continuous-time time-varying DAEs
- › sampled feedback loop for descriptor systems

## Simple question

What can we say about existence and uniqueness of solutions?

# Small excursion to continuous time

$$E_\sigma \dot{x} = A_\sigma x$$

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness in continuous time, *Trenn 2012*)

Assume  $(E_i, A_i)$  are **regular**, i.e.  $\det(sE_i - A_i)$  is not the zero polynomial.

Then for any past trajectory  $x^0(\cdot)$  and any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  there **exists unique**  $x(\cdot)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(-\infty, t_0)} &= x^0_{(-\infty, t_0)} \\ (E_\sigma \dot{x})_{[t_0, \infty)} &= (A_\sigma x)_{[t_0, \infty)} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, solution behavior is **causal** w.r.t. to the switching signal.

## Distributional solution framework necessary

Above solution result only holds when solution space is enlarged to allow for jumps and **Dirac impulses**.

# A simple example

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## Example

Consider (SSS) with

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = I \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = I$$

### Nonswitched solution behavior

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \equiv 1 : \quad & \left. \begin{aligned} x_1(k+1) &= x_1(k) \\ 0 &= x_2(k) \end{aligned} \right\} \rightsquigarrow x(k) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sigma \equiv 2 : \quad & \left. \begin{aligned} 0 &= x_1(k) \\ x_2(k+1) &= x_2(k) \end{aligned} \right\} \rightsquigarrow x(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

# A simple example

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## Example

Consider (SSS) with

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = I \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = I$$

**Switched solution behavior**  $\sigma(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & k < k_s \\ 2, & k \geq k_s \end{cases}$

For  $k < k_s$  we have  $x(k) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and for  $k = k_s - 1$  also  $x_1(k_s) = x_1(k_s - 1) = c_1$

**BUT:** For  $k = k_s$  also  $0 = x_1(k_s)$ , hence  $c_1 = 0$  necessary!

Furthermore  $x_2(k_s)$  not constraint by mode 1  $\rightsquigarrow x_2(k) = c_2$  for all  $k \geq k_s$

$\rightsquigarrow x(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $k < k_s$  and  $x(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $k \geq k_s$

# Observations from example

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## No existence and uniqueness of solutions!

- › Not all solutions from the past can be extended to a global solution
- › Single initial value leads to multiple solutions in the future
- › Loss of causality w.r.t. to switching signal

## Definition

(SSS) is called **causal w.r.t. the switching signal**  $:\Leftrightarrow \forall \sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \forall x(\cdot)$  sol. for  $\sigma \forall \tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\sigma(k) = \tilde{\sigma}(k) \quad \forall k \leq \tilde{k} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \exists \tilde{x}(\cdot) \text{ sol. for } \tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{x}(k) = x(k) \quad \forall k \leq \tilde{k}$$

Example not causal w.r.t. the switching signal: Let  $\sigma \equiv 1$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & k < k_s \\ 2, & k \geq k_s \end{cases}$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  no solution  $\tilde{x}$  with  $\tilde{x}(k) = c_1 = x(k) \neq 0$  for  $k < k_s$ .

# Causality and One-Step-Map

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## Question

When is (SSS) causal w.r.t. the switching signal?

More specifically: When is  $x(k+1)$  uniquely defined for all  $x(k)$ ,  $\sigma(k)$  and  $\sigma(k+1)$ ?

In other words: Is there a **one-step-map**  $\Phi_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\forall \text{ sol. } x(\cdot) \text{ of (SSS) : } x(k+1) = \Phi_{\sigma(k+1), \sigma(k)}x(k)$$

# Regularity and index

## Theorem (Quasi-Weierstrass Form)

$(E, A)$  is regular  $\iff \exists S, T$  invertible with

$$(SET, SAT) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (\text{QWF})$$

where  $N$  is nilpotent

## Definition

$(E, A)$  has **index-1**  $:\iff N = 0$  in (QWF)

Index-1 (together with regularity) is also called:

- › causal
- › admissible
- › impulse-free



# Index-1 characterization

Theorem (see e.g. *Griepentrog & März 1986*)

$(E, A)$  is regular and index-1

$$\iff \mathcal{S} \oplus \ker E = \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ where } \mathcal{S} := A^{-1}(\text{im } E) := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A\xi \in \text{im } E\}$$

$$\iff \mathcal{S} \cap \ker E = \{0\}$$

Furthermore,  $T = [T_1, T_2]$  and  $S = [ET_1, AT_2]^{-1}$  with  $\text{im } T_1 = \mathcal{S}$  and  $\text{im } T_2 = \ker E$ :

$$(SET, SAT) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (\text{QWF})$$

## Corollary

$Ex(k+1) = Ax(k)$  being regular + index-1 has **unique solution** with  $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\iff x_0 \in \mathcal{S}$$

In fact,  $x(k+1) = \Phi_{(E,A)}x(k)$  with  $\Phi_{(E,A)} := T \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}$

# Is this the sought one-step map already?

## Attention

$\Phi_{(E,A)}$  is one-step-map for  $Ex(k+1) = Ax(k)$

**BUT:** Only true when system is active for at least **two** time-steps:

$$Ex(1) = Ax(0) \implies x(1) \in E^{-1}(Ax(0)) = \{\Phi_{(E,A)}x(0)\} + \ker E$$

$$Ex(2) = Ax(1) \implies x(1) \in A^{-1}(Ex(2)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$$

Hence, invoking  $\mathcal{S} \cap \ker E = \{0\}$ ,

$$Ex(1) = Ax(0) \quad \wedge \quad Ex(2) = Ax(1) \quad \implies \quad x(1) = \Phi_{(E,A)}x(0)$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  **Not suitable for switched systems!**

Both modes in Example were regular+index-1, but no one-step-map exists!

**Problem seems to be overlooked in the literature so far!**

# A key definition

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## Definition

(SSS) or  $\{(E_1, A_1), (E_2, A_2), \dots, (E_n, A_n)\}$  is called (jointly) **index-1**  $:\Leftrightarrow$

$$\mathcal{S}_i \cap \ker E_j = \{0\} \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \mathcal{S}_i := A_i^{-1}(\text{im } E_i)$$

- › Clearly ( $i = j$ ) each pair  $(E_i, A_i)$  must be index-1
- › In general,  $(E_j, A_i)$  is **not index-1** (not even regular)

## Example

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = I \rightsquigarrow \ker E_1 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \mathcal{S}_1 = A_1^{-1}(\text{im } E_1) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = I \rightsquigarrow \ker E_2 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \mathcal{S}_2 = A_2^{-1}(\text{im } E_2) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{S}_i \cap \ker E_j \neq \{0\}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

# The one-step-map

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## Theorem

Assume (SSS) is (jointly) index-1. Then  $\forall \sigma \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$x(\cdot) \text{ solves (SSS)} \iff x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma(0)} \wedge x(k+1) = \Phi_{\sigma(k+1), \sigma(k)} x(k)$$

where

$$\Phi_{i,j} := \Pi_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\ker E_j} \cdot \Phi_{(E_j, A_j)}$$

and  $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\ker E_j}$  is the projector onto  $\mathcal{S}_i$  along  $\ker E_j$ .

Skip proof

# Proof idea

$$x(k+1) = \Phi_{\sigma(k+1),\sigma(k)} x(k) \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_{i,j} := \Pi_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\ker E_j} \cdot \Phi_{(E_j, A_j)}$$

## Lemma

For any subspace  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  it holds that

$$\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{V} \cap (\{z\} + \mathcal{W}) = \{\Pi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}} z\}$$

- › index-1  $\implies \mathcal{S}_i \oplus \ker E_j = \mathbb{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\ker E_j}$  well defined
- ›  $E_{\sigma(0)} x(1) = A_{\sigma(0)} x(0) \implies x(0) \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma(0)}$
- › Show by induction that  $x(k) \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma(k)} \implies \exists! x(k+1) \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma(k+1)}$ 
  - $E_{\sigma(k)} x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)} x(k) \implies x(k+1) \in \{\Phi_{(E_{\sigma(k)}, A_{\sigma(k)})} x(k)\} + \ker E_{\sigma(k)}$
  - $E_{\sigma(k+1)} x(k+2) = A_{\sigma(k+1)} x(k+1) \implies x(k+1) \in A_{\sigma(k+1)}^{-1} (\text{im } E_{\sigma(k+1)}) = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma(k+1)}$
  - $\stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{\implies} x(k+1) = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}_{\sigma(k+1)}}^{\ker E_{\sigma(k)}} \Phi_{(E_{\sigma(k)}, A_{\sigma(k)})} x(k)$

# Necessity of index-1 assumption

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k), \quad x(0) = 0 \quad (\text{SSS})$$

## Theorem

$\forall \sigma \ x(1) = 0$  is only solution of (SSS) for  $k = 0, 1$   
 $\implies \mathcal{S}_i \cap \ker E_j = \{0\}$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Proof sketch:

- ›  $k = 0$ :  $E_j x(1) = A_j x(0) = 0 \iff x(1) \in \ker E_j$
- ›  $k = 1$ :  $E_i x(2) = A_i x(1) \iff x(1) \in \mathcal{S}_i$
- ›  $x(1) = 0$  is only solution  $\implies \ker E_j \cap \mathcal{S}_i = \{0\}$

# Summary

$$E_{\sigma(k)}x(k+1) = A_{\sigma(k)}x(k) \quad (\text{SSS})$$

- › Simple example shows that **index-1 of each mode** is **not sufficient for existence and uniqueness** of solutions
- › (SSS) is **index-1** : $\iff A_i^{-1}(\text{im } E_i) \cap \ker E_j = \{0\} \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- › (SSS) index-1  $\implies$  existence of one-step-map  $\Phi_{i,j}$  such that

$$x(k+1) = \Phi_{\sigma(k+1), \sigma(k)}x(k)$$

- › Unique solvability  $\implies$  index-1 of (SSS)

## Extensions

- › Explicit calculation of  $\Phi_{i,j}$  (without QWF)
- › Extension to inhomogeneous case
- › Stability analysis via joint spectral radius