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## The observability problem



## Observability questions

> Is there a unique $x_{0}$ for any given $\sigma, u, y$ ? $\rightarrow$ observability
> Is there a unique $\left(x_{0}, \sigma\right)$ for any given $u$ and $y$ ?
$\rightarrow(x, \sigma)$-observability
> Is there a unique $\sigma$ for any given $u, y$ and unknown $x_{0}$ ?
$\rightarrow \sigma$-observability

## ( $\chi, \sigma$ )-observability vs. $\sigma$-observability

## First (surprising?) result for linear systems

$$
(x, \sigma) \text {-observability } \quad \Longleftrightarrow \sigma \text {-observability }
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ is clear.
Main argument for $\Longleftarrow$ :
Choose initial values $x_{0}^{1} \neq x_{0}^{2}$ with the same input-output behavior
$\rightarrow x_{0}:=x_{0}^{1}-x_{0}^{2} \neq 0$ gives $y \equiv 0$
$\rightarrow y \equiv 0$ also results from $x_{0}=0$ and any $\sigma$

## Corollary for linear systems

$$
\sigma \text {-observability } \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text { each individual mode observable }
$$

## Weaker observability notion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x \\
y=C_{\sigma} x \\
\quad \text { with } \\
C_{1}=[1,0] \\
C_{2}=[0,1]
\end{gathered} \rightarrow \text { not observable } \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 
\\
1
\end{array}
$$

## Switch observability ( $\sigma_{1}$-observability)

Recover $x$ and $\sigma$ from $u$ and $y$, if at least one switch occurs

## The simplest case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} x \\
& y=C_{\sigma} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{k}:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C_{k} \\
C_{k} A_{k} \\
C_{k} A_{k}^{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Theorem (cf. Küsters \& Trenn, Automatica 2018)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \text {-observability } \Longleftrightarrow \forall i \neq j: \operatorname{rank}\left[\mathcal{O}_{i} \mathcal{O}_{j}\right]=2 n \\
& \sigma_{1} \text {-observability } \Longleftrightarrow \forall i \neq j, p \neq q,(i, j) \neq(p, q): \operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{O}_{i} & \mathcal{O}_{p} \\
\mathcal{O}_{j} & \mathcal{O}_{q}
\end{array}\right]=2 n \\
& t_{\text {S-observability }} \Longleftrightarrow \forall i \neq j: \operatorname{rank}\left[\mathcal{O}_{i}-\mathcal{O}_{j}\right]=n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Adding inputs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
& y=C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Input-depending observability

$\Sigma\left(A_{\sigma}, C_{\sigma}\right) \sigma$-observable $\nLeftarrow \Sigma\left(A_{\sigma}, B_{\sigma}, C_{\sigma}, D_{\sigma}\right) \sigma$-observable
Example:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{x}=x & \dot{x}=0+u \\
y=x & y=x
\end{array}
$$

is $\sigma$-observable but not distinguishable for $u(t)=e^{t}$ and $x(0)=1$

## Adding inputs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
& y=C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Input-depending observability

$\Sigma\left(A_{\sigma}, C_{\sigma}\right) \sigma$-observable $\nLeftarrow \Sigma\left(A_{\sigma}, B_{\sigma}, C_{\sigma}, D_{\sigma}\right) \sigma$-observable

## Strong vs. weak observability <br> observable for all $u \nLeftarrow$ observable for some/almost all $u$

## Further technicalities

Analytic vs. smooth inputs and equivalent switching signals
All problems resolvable $\rightarrow$ see our 2018 Automatica paper

## Adding algebraic constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extended solution space

Distributional solution space $\rightarrow$ Dirac impulses possible
Suitable solution space: Piecewise-smooth distrubutions


## Impulses important for observability



| Switch |  | obsv. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| open | $y \equiv 0$ for any internal states | $x$ |
| closed | equilibrium $i_{1}=-i_{2}=$ const $\rightarrow y \equiv 0$ | $x$ |
| closing | $y=0$ jumps to $\neq 0$ | $\checkmark$ |
| opening | non-equilibrium: $y \neq 0$ jumps to zero (+ Imp.) | $\checkmark$ |
|  | equilibrium: $y(t)=0 \forall t$, but with impulse in $y$ | $\checkmark$ |

The switch-induced impulse is required to determine $x$ and $\sigma$.

## System classes



## Solution formula for nonswitched DAEs

$$
E_{p} \dot{x}=A_{p} x+B_{p} u, \quad x\left(0^{-}\right)=x_{0}
$$

has unique solution on $(0, \infty)$

$$
x(t)=\mathrm{e}^{A_{p}^{\text {diff }} t} \Pi_{p} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{A_{p}^{\text {diff }}(t-s)} B_{p}^{\text {diff }} u(s) \mathrm{d} s-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(E_{p}^{\text {inp }}\right)^{i} ⿻^{\text {in mp }} u^{(i)}(t)
$$

Assumption：$B_{p}^{\text {imp }}=0 \rightarrow$ DAE behaves like $\dot{\chi}=A_{p}^{\text {diff }} x+B_{p}^{\text {diff }} u$

## Jumps and Dirac impulses still present at switches

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left(t_{p}^{+}\right)=\Pi_{p} x\left(t_{p}^{-}\right) \\
& x\left[t_{p}\right]=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(E_{p}^{\mathrm{imp}}\right)^{i+1} x\left(t_{p}^{-}\right) \delta_{t_{p}}^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observability characterizations

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} x & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x
\end{aligned}
$$

regular with corresponding
$\Pi_{p}, A_{p}^{\text {diff }}, B_{p}^{\text {diff }}, C_{p}^{\text {diff }}$,

$$
E_{p}^{\mathrm{imp}}, B_{p}^{\mathrm{imp}}, C_{p}^{\mathrm{imp}}
$$

Notation:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C_{k}^{\text {diff }} \\
C_{k}^{\text {diff }} A_{k}^{\text {diff }} \\
C_{k}^{\text {diff }} A_{k}^{\text {diff }}{ }^{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{O}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C_{k}^{\text {imp }} E_{k}^{\text {imp }} \\
C_{k}^{\text {imp }} E_{k}^{\text {imp }}{ }^{2} \\
C_{k}^{\text {imp }} E_{k}^{\text {imp }}{ }^{3} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right], \Gamma_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{k}^{\text {diff }} B_{k}^{\text {diff }} & 0 & & \\
C_{k}^{\text {diff }} A_{k}^{\text {diff }} B_{k}^{\text {diff }} & C_{k}^{\text {diff }} B_{k}^{\text {diff }} & \ddots & \\
C_{k}^{\text {diff }} A_{k}^{\text {diff }} B_{k}^{\text {diff }} & C_{k}^{\text {diff }} A_{k}^{\text {diff }} B_{k}^{\text {diff }} & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Observability characterizations

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} x & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \quad \text { regular with corresponding } \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{p}, A_{p}^{\text {diff }}, B_{p}^{\text {diff }}, C_{p}^{\text {diff }}, \\
& E_{p}^{\text {imp }}, B_{p}^{\text {imp }}, C_{p}^{\text {imp }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (Assumption $B^{\text {imp }}=0$ )
o-observability


$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{O}_{i} & \mathcal{O}_{j} & \Gamma_{i}-\Gamma_{j}
\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{rank} \Pi_{i}+\operatorname{rank} \Pi_{j}+\operatorname{rank}\left(\Gamma_{i}-\Gamma_{j}\right)
$$

+ technical impulse condition


## Observability characterizations

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} x & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x
\end{aligned}
$$

regular with corresponding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{p}, A_{p}^{\text {diff }}, B_{p}^{\text {diff }}, C_{p}^{\text {diff }} \\
& E_{p}^{\text {imp }}, B_{p}^{\text {imp }}, C_{p}^{\text {imp }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Assumption $B^{i m p}=0$ )

$\sigma_{1}$-observability
$t_{s}$-observability +

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{i} & \mathcal{O}_{p} & \Gamma_{i}-\Gamma_{p} \\
\mathcal{O}_{j} \Pi_{i} \mathcal{O}_{q} \Pi_{p} \Gamma_{j}-\Gamma_{q} \\
\mathbf{O}_{j} \Pi_{i} \mathbf{O}_{q} \Pi_{p} & 0
\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{rank} \Pi_{i}+\operatorname{rank} \Pi_{p}+\operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_{i}-\Gamma_{p} \\
\Gamma_{j}-\Gamma_{q}
\end{array}\right]-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{i, j, p, q}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{i, j, i, q}=\operatorname{im} \Pi_{i} \cap \operatorname{ker} E_{j} \cap \operatorname{ker} E_{q}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{i, j, p, q}=\{0\}$ for $i \neq p$
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